

Guidelines for CFOs on past-due receivables

Operational strategies to
reduce DSO and improve credit
management processes,
including international scope.



SUMMARY

05

Adapting industrial and Commercial
Banking Delinquency Strategies

15

Managing delinquencies, reducing
DSO, and reducing the inventory of
non-performing loans

17

Credit management and recovery: An
imperative opportunity

19

Outsourcing: Beyond the extrema ratio
concept

21

International Debt Collection: Specific
Challenges

23

How to choose the right provider for
your operational needs

25

The importance of specialization: A
Pharmaceutical & Healthcare Industry
Example

27

The overall impact on corporate
compliance

31

Process Optimization: The Legal-
Administrative Macro Team

33

2025 Strategies: The Import-Export
Project for Global Expansion

EXTRACT

This document provides CFOs with **structural guidelines** to develop credit management policies and strategies tailored to the revenue model of their specific business environment.

1

CFO Responsibilities: The Chief Financial Officer (CFO) is charged with a wide range of duties, obligations, and responsibilities. In conjunction with senior management, the CFO oversees the company's financial resources, including financial planning and financial statement preparation. His role involves identifying, assessing, and mitigating financial risks, such as credit, market, and liquidity.

2

One of the CFO's most important responsibilities is monitoring Days Sales Outstanding (DSO), which represents the average time it takes to pay commercial invoices. As the saying goes, "Cash is king," and analyzing cash flow is critical to maintaining the company's financial independence, stability, and potential need for external support.

3

**Are the company's invoices paid?
How long does it take to get paid?
Are specific invoices likely to remain unpaid?**

Practical analysis must lead to action. Processes and structures must be established to efficiently manage and recover non-performing receivables.

4

While credit management is essential to the survival of any business, regardless of size, **only some companies can transform themselves into dedicated credit management organizations.** Focusing on core business activities and creating sustainable, competitive internal structures is imperative. As a result, it is often advisable - or even mandatory - to complement internal teams with specialized external providers (known as "special servicers").



Adapting Banking Strategies on Overdue Payments to Industrial and Commercial Sectors

This document, intended for CFOs and any managerial or entrepreneurial figure tasked with governing and controlling the company's financial flows, synthesizes strategies and principles established in managing non-performing loans (NPLs) and, in particular, banking credit management. These strategies can prove decisive and strategic even for CFOs operating in industrial and commercial contexts.

The banking sector is often perceived as too slow, bureaucratic, and not conducive to healthy business risk-taking. However, a deeper analysis reveals that such a fundamental function in the socio-economic system of every country—like the collection of savings and the provision of credit—cannot be left to chance, common sense, or unstructured and episodic initiatives. Mismanaging available resources (whether savings from businesses and individuals or, for the CFO, the revenue generated by the company) triggers an imbalance that can quickly lead to a vicious cycle of debt (with increasingly higher

costs), lack of investment in research and innovation or commercial expansion, resource dissipation, loss of market position, and, over varying timeframes, actual corporate crises. Therefore, it is surprising how debt collection (a term that, while not incorrect, is undoubtedly reductive in describing the comprehensive activities involved in managing problematic credit) is often underestimated, left to chance, and degraded into a secondary legal activity staffed with inadequately trained and specialized resources.

The 2007-2008 financial crisis generated 1 trillion in non-performing loans (NPLs) in the European banking system. To address this critical situation, European-level oversight of credit institutions was strengthened and centralized. This resulted in the development of an essential document in the context of credit management: the "Guidelines for Banks on Non-Performing Loans," which will now be referred to as the "Guidelines."

This document (whose analysis can undoubtedly be extremely valuable for any CFO) inspired the title of the present operational guide. Thanks to the "Guidelines," European banks, particularly Italian ones, have initiated a complex process of consolidating their internal processes and capital structures.

The impact of the "Guidelines" has been a complete reversal of values and perspectives: debt collection, once relegated to an ancillary, incidental, or secondary role, has become a central, permanent, and structural activity.

Indeed, the enhancement of credit management activities has retrospectively highlighted that only "healthy" credit—properly generated, adequately monitored, and supported by appropriate guarantees—can be effectively and profitably managed, even in scenarios of financial distress or default. The "Guidelines" key concept is the "protection of the credit lifecycle", encompassing its generation, management, and even potential offloading through sales to banking or financial investors.

A detailed examination of the “Guidelines” is beyond the scope of this document; however, it is valuable and appropriate to highlight and summarize some key principles contained in the “Guidelines” that should guide the planning and strategies of the CFO. These principles apply whether the CFO works in SMEs operating only in Italy or is part of structured groups or companies operating globally in several markets:

**The Importance
of Contractual
Agreements in Credit
Management**

It is not uncommon for even major companies to export goods and services, sometimes in significant volumes, based on purchase orders alone, without the support of contracts or framework agreements and adequately defined general terms and conditions of supply or sale.

This gap remains “invisible” as long as the counterparty meets its obligations regularly. However, it poses significant challenges when debt collection is delayed or becomes excessively costly due to inappropriate arbitration clauses, or worse, is rendered unfeasible due to the lack of a clear and consistent legal framework, both procedurally and substantively.

For this reason, the CFO is fully justified in coordinating with the company’s legal department whenever contractual structures directly impact credit management and collection activities.

**The Role of Corporate
Management
in Developing
Strategies for Non-
Performing Loan
(NPL) Management**

In the banking industry, senior management must develop and approve an annual action plan for optimal non-performing loans (NPLs) management. This complex strategy involves implementing teams, technologies, processes, internal activities, outsourcing arrangements, and asset sales.

Banks, corporations, and their management teams must absolutely prioritize nonperforming loans. Corporate credit management strategies cannot and should not be delegated to internal services that lack genuine decision-making autonomy.

**The separation
of business
development and
routine operations
from credit
management and
collection activities**

These new obligations reflect a significant change in perspective: debt collection has moved from a marginal and ancillary area to one that involves strategic credit management and operational planning. Due to its sensitivity and importance, it requires the active involvement of senior management.

One of the fundamental principles of the “Guidelines” is the clear (and mandatory) distinction between the commercial network and credit management and collection services.

These two areas’ approaches, objectives, and capabilities are very different (seemingly at odds). On the one hand, a business unit focuses on customer acquisition and volume growth, leveraging strong technical and relational skills. On the other hand, the credit management area is fully equipped to handle credit portfolios associated with unmet contractual obligations, unpaid invoices, and unresponsive or unavailable counterparties. While this area can sometimes restore contractual relationships to a healthy state, it often requires initiating pre-legal or legal actions, such as monitoring or enforcement proceedings.

Too often, this core principle needs to be addressed, even in significant organizations. This oversight leads to the involvement of resources and departments whose expertise needs to be aligned with legal or credit recovery requirements.

The results of such misaligned strategies may include:

Drafting agreements that lack key protections for the creditor company (e.g., the statute of limitations, interest rates, forum selection clauses, acknowledgment of debt, etc.).

Missed opportunities to strengthen the creditor’s legal position.

Disorganized business processes where the CFO is bogged down in micromanagement and needs clear guidelines for credit management and out-of-court settlements.

There needs to be more connection between the out-of-court and subsequent legal management processes.

**The Involvement
of External
Service Providers
(Specialized
Debt Collection
Companies) in
Corporate Credit
Management Policies
and Activities,
Particularly in
International Credit
Management.**

Ultimately, the result is often a failure (or, at best, a Pyrrhic victory) characterized by more confusion, disputes, slow processing, and, most critically, reduced payments.

The “Guidelines” recognize the complexity of managing non-performing loans (NPLs), a time-consuming activity that, while essential, diverts time and resources from the company’s core business. This function requires specific legal expertise and intense negotiation, problem-solving, analytical, and interpersonal skills. While credit management is critical to maintaining operational balance, it is neither feasible nor practical for every bank to become a dedicated collection agency. Similarly, most companies need help developing the resources and expertise of a dedicated credit management company. It is also a matter of scale: a company cannot maintain a disproportionately large team focused on credit management when the volume of non-performing loans can vary significantly over time.

For this reason, the “Guidelines” advocate using external providers and specialized companies as a strategic decision rather than a reflection of a failure of internal organizational capabilities. External firms should operate based on their structured approach, specific expertise, and ability to handle peak workloads. They must work closely with internal teams to facilitate outsourced activities in a spirit of cooperation and alignment.

The need for external support becomes even more apparent and critical when a company operates in international markets. Internal teams often need more legal and organizational expertise to manage credit globally, navigate different legal systems and languages, accommodate various time zones, and adapt to widely varying political and economic scenarios.

By involving external service providers in corporate credit management strategies, companies can effectively address these challenges and ensure that internal resources remain focused on the core business while leveraging the specialized capabilities of expert firms.

Finally, several key principles—beyond those included in the “Guidelines”—deserve the CFO’s attention when developing strategies and actions to reduce overdue payments and shorten payment times. These principles arise directly from expertise gained in the field of debt collection in both Italy and international contexts:

**Advantages of a
Structured Special
Servicer Over a
Single Law Firm or
Legal Network**

Large banking portfolios are increasingly entrusted to specialized companies capable of coordinating many out-of-court and legal activities. While a law firm is undoubtedly a useful, valuable, and indeed indispensable resource, it is incorporated into a much broader “legal factory” framework. In this structure, no firm sets the rules; each lawyer operates within a coordinated organizational design with defined revenue and cost objectives, timelines, analysis criteria, and activation protocols.

These advantages become even more apparent when the credit portfolio requiring management (including legal processes) is spread across multiple regions and countries. A specialized special servicer is equipped to support the CFO with coordinated actions across various legal systems and provide numerous types of legal support. This structured approach ensures efficient and effective credit management. It leverages the scalability and expertise of special servicers to address the complexities of international operations while maintaining alignment with the company’s overarching strategic goals.

**Importance of the
Specific Expertise of
Special Services**

Every company develops its processes over time for production, service delivery, and market placement—including, operationally, its billing processes. A wide range of factors influence these processes, such as the value and scale of individual sales transactions, the industry sector, geographic distribution, market maturity, and the presence of competitors.

This diversity underscores the need for specialized expertise

The Importance of Monitoring Actions and Cash Flows in Credit Management

in credit management. A special servicer brings the tailored knowledge required to navigate these unique variables, ensuring that credit recovery and management strategies align closely with the company's specific operational and market conditions. Credit management companies also develop specific competencies over time, shaping their management teams, level of specialization, and team size accordingly. It is essential to distinguish between providers that manage highly fragmented portfolios of customers (debtors) through call centers in different countries - standard in industries such as telecommunications or utilities - and specialized servicers that handle mid- to high-value credit accounts. These specialized teams often include paralegals or legal experts capable of handling complex contractual issues.

Similarly, depending on the area of operation, a credit manager's expertise may focus on specific industries (e.g., automotive, IT), or geographic regions (domestic or international). In terms of reducing Days Sales Outstanding (DSO), poorly managed credit processes often result in more disruption, more disputes, slower resolutions, and, most importantly, fewer payments.

In contrast, a special servicer's structured approach can provide clarity and efficiency, leading to faster and more effective results.

Securing a company's creditworthiness cannot be managed through episodic or haphazard interventions driven by emotional criteria or inconsistent attempts. Unfortunately, non-linear processes and "stop-and-go" actions, common even in significant organizations, are insufficient.

Credit management strategies should not be driven by looming quarterly or financial reporting deadlines but built, managed, and driven with continuity and consistency.

In practical terms, delinquencies must be monitored continuously through quick and practical actions that meet all the essential criteria. A thorough review of management results is also critical. Specifically, to serve the creditor company's interests, the relevant

Opportunities to Leverage the Output of Credit Management and Debt Collection Activities by External Specialized Servicers to Revise Internal Business Processes in Collaboration with Various BUs

business units (BUs) and external special servicers involved in the process must remain consistently aligned and updated on cash flow trends.

While this may seem like an obvious consideration, the complexity of processes in large, structured organizations often makes data extraction nonlinear and challenging. This difficulty is exacerbated by the involvement of multiple management units, which are usually burdened by deadlines and significant workloads.

A streamlined and coordinated monitoring approach ensures that credit management activities are effective and aligned with the organization's broader strategic goals.

A significant indirect benefit of involving specialized players, such as special servicers, is the external and independent perspective they bring to the current processes within the creditor company. When managing a company's credits, special servicers often identify critical issues in the contractual structures employed in day-to-day operations, administrative and billing processes, or core production processes.

Since credit management represents the final phase of the production process—specifically, the collection of receivables stemming from unpaid invoices—the special servicer's insights often extend to providing advice on earlier production phases.

More specifically, even major companies or multinationals often retain sales or distribution contracts for years that lack essential clauses, fail to comply with updated consumer protection regulations, or omit proper jurisdictional clauses (or contain inadequately structured arbitration clauses).

The effects of such non-compliance can be severe. The consequences of these deficiencies become evident during the credit management phase, providing an opportunity to address and mitigate them. These shortcomings can be corrected by implementing operational recommendations in legal, contractual, and managerial terms, enhancing overall compliance, and

The Collection Policy

improving business operations. This collaborative approach resolves immediate credit management issues, strengthens internal processes, and ensures alignment across business units, paving the way for more robust and compliant organizational practices.

An explicit collection policy is equally critical to the effectiveness of credit management activities. What is a collection policy? The CFO provides guidelines to approve out-of-court tools essential to effective collection, such as repayment plans, settlement agreements, and installment plans.

To expedite the management of delinquent accounts, the CFO must clearly define and communicate credit management objectives and guidelines for the approval process. The CFO should provide autonomous management authority, supported by sound reporting, whenever possible, to make decisions about installment payments or write-offs of managed receivables.

Every payment opportunity generated by collection activities must be carefully evaluated to maximize value. The ultimate goal is to minimize reliance on legal proceedings, limit such actions to exceptional cases, and thoroughly assess them based on specific cost-benefit analyses.

This structured approach ensures efficiency in debt collection while maintaining alignment with broader organizational strategies.





Managing Overdue Payments, Reducing DSO, and Decreasing the Stock of Non-Performing Loans

Overdue payment management is one of the CFO's top priorities. The broad term 'overdue' includes receivables from contractual counterparties associated with invoices that are 30, 60, 90, or more days past due. Unlike the banking and financial sectors, where strict regulatory oversight leaves little room for maneuver, CFOs often have considerable leeway in clustering credit portfolios based on their characteristics.

Multinational corporations may consider an invoice "past due" after just one day's delay. In contrast, many organizations take a much more lenient (or overly flexible) approach to credit monitoring. Sometimes, payment processes are structured around disproportionate and often unjustifiable timeframes. While these practices aim to maintain internal balance with trading networks, distributors, or large customers, they are difficult to justify to auditors or those under the current regulatory framework, including corporate governance laws and crisis codes.

As discussed earlier, many practical strategies and best practices have been developed over time, particularly in the banking sector. These are invaluable to CFOs and should be implemented - or better still, tailored - to the specific needs of the company's structure and market environment. Adopting these strategies aligns with modern principles of accountability and operational adequacy in corporate life.

By actively managing overdue payments, optimizing Days Sales Outstanding (DSO), and strategically addressing non-performing loans, CFOs can significantly improve cash flow, operational efficiency, and financial stability.

This is not merely a financial issue, nor is it exclusively an accounting-administrative or legal matter. Managing overdue payments and, more broadly, monitoring Days Sales Outstanding (DSO) is a multifaceted activity requiring the CFO to adopt a complex, interdisciplinary approach. This approach must integrate various evaluation and strategy criteria that, if considered separately, seem contradictory.

Practically speaking, it is not about managing overdue invoices alphabetically, launching mass mailing campaigns and warnings, aiming for full recovery of every specific debt, or indiscriminately initiating injunctions for all unpaid credits. Instead, the CFO's objective is a continuous cost-benefit evaluation aimed at:

- Increasing cash flow;
- Optimizing management expenses and outcomes; and Balancing brand protection with long-term development and safeguarding the multifaceted interests of the company's stakeholders, market, clients, users, and, in a broader context, the community and the environment.

Maintaining a "high-level," forward-looking perspective is of utmost importance, even when dealing with issues that might seem insignificant in isolation. For example, the rapid dissemination of information can turn a single incident into an emblem of poor service or customer management. This can damage the company's credibility and potentially undermine years of investments and achievements in building a positive corporate narrative.

As success stories carry weight, critical cases demand the highest management priority. This is especially true in credit management when disputes arise regarding services or debt collection methods.

Such disputes require careful handling:

- On the one hand, they must not paralyze management activities or place the company in a position of constant inferiority to the debtor.
- On the other hand, they demand extreme attention to the processes and content of management activities, ensuring perfect compliance with contractual and administrative foundations and human and relational interaction methods.

By adhering to this balanced approach, companies can effectively manage overdue payments and maintain their operational integrity, reputation, and stakeholder trust.

Credit Management and Debt Collection: A MANDATORY OPPORTUNITY

Credit management and debt collection are essential for a company's financial health, especially in light of the new Code of Business Crisis and Insolvency. This regulatory framework requires companies to adopt appropriate organizational, administrative, and accounting structures, as stipulated by Article 2086 of the Civil Code, to detect crises and the potential loss of business continuity promptly.

The CFO must implement effective and transparent internal control systems, consistently monitor receivables, and adopt proactive measures to recover overdue debts. Key actions include:

- Establishing credit limits based on customer solvency;
- Utilizing real-time monitoring tools to detect payment delays and
- Defining recovery procedures, including reminders, payment agreements, and legal actions when necessary.

The **Italian Supreme Court (Cassazione)**, in ruling **No. 8888/2020**, emphasized that negligent credit management can lead to liability for mala gestio by company directors. Additionally, the **Milan Court** highlighted that failing to adopt adequate credit monitoring systems can negatively impact business continuity.

The **Italian Revenue Agency's guidelines**, such as **No. 55/E of 2020**, stress that receivables must be valued at their probable realizable value and that recovery procedures must be thoroughly documented to justify the write-off of uncollectible receivables.

International accounting standards, such as **IFRS 9** and **IAS 1**, impose strict requirements for evaluating receivables and financial statement presentation. These standards mandate:

Estimating future credit losses and Providing a truthful and accurate representation of the financial situation. Non-performing loans (NPLs), in particular, must be recorded in the financial statements under the receivables or commercial receivables section for subsequent fiscal years. Considering the collection challenges, they must also be valued at their probable realizable value.

This structured approach to credit management and debt recovery ensures compliance with legal

and financial standards while safeguarding the company's economic stability and operational continuity.

The CFO must ensure that the financial statements are transparent and compliant with regulations, maintaining detailed documentation of credit policies, recovery activities, and losses. Careful and proactive credit management is, therefore, crucial to preventing crises and ensuring business continuity, aligning with the principles of accountability and transparency in financial reporting.



Outsourcing: BEYOND THE CONCEPT OF “EXTREMA RATIO”

Outsourcing to external structures allows companies to address urgent, unexpected needs or challenges related to volumes and issues for which internal resources may need to be prepared or improved. Focusing specifically on credit management, the use of specialized companies for the management and recovery of unpaid debts (linked to overdue or disputed invoices) is employed in a variety of situations, such as:

- Managing large-scale or complex credit portfolios;
- Addressing gaps in internal expertise or capacity;
- Handling urgent or time-sensitive recovery needs;
- Ensuring compliance with regulatory or legal requirements;
- Adapting to fluctuating volumes of overdue accounts.

Outsourcing is not a sign of failure but a strategic choice to leverage external expertise and resources to ensure credit efficiency and effectiveness.

01

High Number of Contractual Counterparties Linked to Significant Levels of Unpaid Invoices

Managing many counterparties with a substantial amount of unpaid debts necessitates specialized resources and expertise to address these challenges effectively.

03

Unpredictable Situations and Scenarios

Events such as pandemics, sudden price increases, supply chain disruptions, and raw material shortages highlight the need for flexibility and the ability to adapt to rapidly changing circumstances.

02

Deficiencies in Counterparty Evaluation

Gaps in internal organizational capabilities, the absence of a credit policy, or a highly expansionist commercial strategy focused solely on increasing revenue—without adequate structuring of payment term controls—can lead to payment delays and missed receivables.

05

Specific Disputes Related to the Execution or Interpretation of Existing Contracts

Legal or procedural disagreements over contractual terms can complicate credit management and delay payments.

06

Bureaucratic Processes That Extend Invoice and Payment Handling Times

For example, relationships with public entities in Italy often lead to significant payment delays due to administrative inefficiencies.

04

Regulatory and Legal Obligations

Adherence to structured processes and reporting standards is essential for complying with regulatory requirements, such as those related to non-performing loans (NPLs) and the European Supervisory Guidelines.

07

Structural Weaknesses in Counterparty Organizations

These may include the limited capacity of micro and small businesses or sole proprietorships, which often need more robust financial and administrative structures.

08

Challenges in Communication and Interaction

These difficulties may arise from language barriers, time zones, and unfamiliarity with local business practices, which are particularly relevant in dealings with foreign companies.

These varied and complex scenarios highlight the central importance of effective credit management for maintaining overall corporate stability. The CFO must remain attentive and proactive in addressing these issues, regardless of the specific operating environment.

International Debt Collection: KEY SPECIFICITIES

International debt collection has several unique characteristics compared to domestic credit management, and it is influenced by linguistic, legal, cultural, and technological factors.

Language Barriers: Communicating with debtors requires a deep understanding of the local language, not only to interpret and draft legal documents but also to establish trust and effective negotiation.

Legal and Regulatory Differences: Each country has specific laws and regulations concerning credit. Understanding local legal procedures, statutes of limitations, and judicial precedents is critical to navigating the legal framework effectively. Collaboration with local legal experts is often necessary. Additionally, sector-specific regulations may apply. Some jurisdictions require specific licenses to operate or impose restrictions on how debtors can be contacted. Compliance with these regulations is essential for legal operation and a good reputation.

Cultural Differences: Cultural perceptions of debt and interaction styles vary significantly. In some cultures, debt is seen as a personal dishonor; in others, it is regarded as a natural part of business and entrepreneurial activity. Understanding and respecting these cultural differences is crucial to avoiding misunderstandings and increasing the likelihood of successful outcomes.

Currency and Exchange Rate Management: Managing different currencies and exchange rate fluctuations is a complex aspect of international debt collection. It is vital to constantly monitor exchange rates to assess the recoverable amount and avoid financial losses accurately.

Recovery Techniques: Recovery methods vary by country. In some places, judicial collection is more effective, while out-of-court negotiation is preferred in others. Adapting strategies to local contexts is critical to success.

Data Protection and Privacy Laws: Data protection regulations, such as the GDPR in Europe, differ significantly from country to country. Ensuring compliance with local data privacy laws is essential to avoid legal sanctions and maintain a good reputation.

Ethical Standards: Maintaining high ethical standards is crucial to avoid reputational damage and legal penalties. This includes respecting privacy, adhering to local customs, and conducting all activities within the boundaries of local laws.



Adapting to these specificities in international debt collection ensures effective outcomes, minimizes risks, and maintains the company's integrity in diverse global contexts. The adoption of technology varies widely across regions. Digital platforms, credit management software, and payment systems can streamline the debt collection process but often require adjustments to fit the technology available in the local market. Additionally, managing time zone differences is critical. Communications must be scheduled to align with the working hours of the debtor's country, which may require flexibility and a willingness to work outside conventional hours for those operating domestically.

Summary

Credit management in an international context fundamentally differs from what can be structured and implemented in a domestic market. It presents significantly more significant challenges that demand specialized expertise. These complexities underline the need for tailored strategies and adaptable approaches to succeed in global credit management operations.

How to Choose the Right Provider for Your Operational Needs

1

Selecting the appropriate debt collection provider requires a thorough analysis of your operational needs, considering factors such as company size, international scope, and the type of debt involved.

Conduct an Internal Analysis

Begin by understanding your credit portfolio:

- Assess the volume and type of debts (e.g., banking, utilities, commercial, or industrial credits).
- Consider the geographic scope and payment timelines. Clearly define your goals, such as improving recovery rates or reducing recovery times, to guide your selection process.

2

Evaluate the Provider's Size and Capabilities

- Large providers offer extensive resources and global presence, making them ideal for handling high volumes and international operations.
- Small and medium-sized providers can deliver more personalized and flexible services.
- Ensure the provider has expertise in the type of debts your company deals with and can tailor strategies to your needs.

3

Assess Reputation and Experience

- Verify the provider's years of operation, clients, and reviews to gain valuable insights.
- Consider operational capabilities such as:
 - Advanced technologies for credit management.
 - Availability of qualified personnel.
 - An established international network of contacts.

4

Compliance with Local and International Regulations

Compliance with local and international legal requirements is essential, particularly regarding privacy laws and necessary licenses. Ethical credit management is equally critical to maintaining a positive corporate reputation.

5

Evaluate the Provider's Services and Tools

- Look for providers offering online platforms to monitor credit, automation tools, and data analysis capabilities.
- Some providers may also offer legal consulting, training, and risk management services, which can be beneficial at various stages of the debt collection process.

6

Flexibility and Personalization of Services

- A good provider should be able to quickly adapt to changes and offer flexible contracts and tailored solutions.
- Transparency is equally crucial. Providers should supply detailed reports and clear communication to ensure an effective, surprise-free collaboration.
- Reviewing case studies and proofs of effectiveness offers concrete insights into the provider's capabilities. Requesting case studies or a trial of their service can help assess their efficacy in similar scenarios.

7

Careful Analysis and Evaluation

Selecting the right debt collection provider requires a detailed analysis and careful evaluation of diverse factors. Assessing the provider's ability to meet your specific operational and strategic needs is critical to making an informed choice.

You can choose a debt collection provider that aligns with your operational requirements and strategic objectives by thoroughly evaluating these factors.

The Importance of Specialization: THE EXAMPLE OF DEBT COLLECTION IN THE PHARMA & HEALTHCARE SECTOR

Selecting the right debt collection provider for a sector as complex as Pharma & Healthcare requires a detailed analysis of operational needs. The healthcare and pharmaceutical industry involves stakeholders with distinct requirements, including insurance companies, solvent patients, hospitals, clinics, national health systems, pharmaceutical companies, and research institutions—each with unique credit management challenges.

Key Considerations:

- **Insurance Companies**
Relationships with insurers demand a deep understanding of policies, coverage, and reimbursement processes to manage credit effectively.
- **Direct Patient Collections**
Collecting debts from individual patients requires sensitivity and professionalism, as well as ethical and respectful handling of their personal and financial circumstances.
- **Collaborations with Companies and Research Institutions**
Financial flows in contexts such as Clinical Trial Agreements (CTAs) are complex, requiring close collaboration between parties and a firm grasp of regulations and clinical trial dynamics.
- **Intellectual Property Protection**
Safeguarding intellectual property is critical in the pharmaceutical sector. Companies must ensure that patents are respected and defended, including taking legal action against infringements.



Specialized Expertise:

Debt recovery in the medical and healthcare sector requires a broad spectrum of specialized competencies, including:

- **Regulatory Knowledge**
Familiarity with healthcare laws and compliance standards.
- **Ethical Management**
Sensitive and ethical handling of collections.
- **Negotiation Skills**
Proficiency in resolving disputes and achieving favorable outcomes.
- **Legal Expertise**
Understanding of sector-specific legal frameworks.
- **Advanced Technology**
Use of cutting-edge tools for credit management and tracking.

Benefits of a Specialized Provider:

A specialized debt collection provider can offer tailored solutions that address the nuances of the Pharma & Healthcare sector. By aligning recovery strategies with the unique demands of the industry, these providers can:

- Enhance operational efficiency.
- Shorten recovery timelines.
- Ensure full compliance with applicable regulations.

This targeted approach improves overall credit management outcomes while safeguarding the company's reputation and maintaining ethical standards.



OVERALL IMPACTS ON CORPORATE COMPLIANCE

Proper structuring of debt collection processes significantly enhances corporate compliance, yielding tangible benefits such as adherence to regulations, process optimization, reduced legal and financial risks, and improved corporate reputation.

Key Benefits of a Well-Structured Debt Collection Process:

1

Operational Efficiency through Automation

- Automating debt collection processes reduces manual errors, accelerates procedures, and reallocates resources to higher-value activities.
- According to a McKinsey study, automation can reduce operational costs by 20-30%.

2

Legal and Financial Risk Mitigation

- Compliant processes avoid aggressive or misleading practices, minimizing the risk of lawsuits and regulatory sanctions.
- Adhering to local laws, such as the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA) in the U.S., protects companies from legal action and reputational damage.

3

Enhanced Corporate Reputation

- Ethical and compliant credit management improves trust with customers and business partners.
- Transparency in communication and respect for debtor rights build a positive public image, fostering long-term commercial relationships.

4

Improved Documentation and Transparency

- Maintaining accurate and detailed records of all credit-related communications and transactions ensures transparency and facilitates dispute resolution.
- Using automated tracking software enhances traceability and accountability.

5

Clear Credit Recovery Policies

- Establishing accessible policies for staff, including guidelines on timelines, debtor interactions, and escalation procedures, ensures consistency.
- It is essential to continuously train on regulations and best practices, such as periodic courses on data protection laws and ethical credit management.

Technological Advancements and Data Utilization

1. Advanced Technology Integration

Implementing AI and automation optimizes debt collection strategies. For example, machine learning algorithms can predict debtor behavior, enabling tailored approaches for higher recovery rates.

2. Data Analysis and Process Improvement

Regular analysis of recovery data identifies improvement areas and informs process modifications. Monthly reports comparing recovery rates across customer segments help optimize resources and refine strategies.

Collaboration with Specialized Providers

Partnering with specialized debt collection agencies reduces the internal operational burden and improves outcomes. These agencies offer tailored services, aligning strategies with company needs while ensuring compliance.

Monitoring and Reporting

An effective debt collection structure includes robust monitoring and reporting mechanisms:

- **Regular Reports:** Track recovery rates, average payment times, and strategy performance.
- **DSO Reduction:** Structured processes can reduce Days Sales Outstanding (DSO), enhance cash flow, and reduce reliance on external financing. According to PwC, reducing DSO by five days can free up 5% of working capital, significantly improving financial health.

Fraud Prevention

- **Fraud Controls:** Implementing rigorous checks and periodic audits helps identify and prevent fraudulent activities, safeguarding against financial losses and reputational damage.
- **Fraud Detection Software:** Tools to identify suspicious transactions allow prompt actions to mitigate risks.

In summary, a well-defined and compliant debt collection process optimizes operational efficiency and safeguards the company's financial stability, reputation, and adherence to legal standards.



Process Optimization: THE LEGAL-ADMINISTRATIVE MACRO-TEAM

Optimizing business processes with a specific focus on credit management is essential for maintaining organizational competitiveness and efficiency.

The **legal-administrative macro-team** plays a pivotal role in this effort, combining legal and administrative expertise to streamline internal processes and ensure regulatory compliance.

Key Functions of the Legal-Administrative Macro-Team

1. Continuous Process Review

Regularly revising credit management processes ensures alignment with best practices and current regulations.

Advanced management systems and automation of repetitive tasks improve operational efficiency.

2. Risk Management

The macro team ensures the company operates in compliance with general laws and regulations and financial balance oversight requirements.

3. Interdepartmental Collaboration

Success depends on seamless coordination between the macro-team and other departments, such as IT and finance.

External support, such as specialized credit management firms, can provide essential expertise tailored to the company's strategic and operational needs.

Benefits of an Integrated Team

1. Comprehensive and Innovative Solutions

An integrated team combines legal and administrative competencies, offering unique perspectives and creating holistic, innovative solutions.

2. Enhanced Efficiency and Competitiveness

By aligning with industry best practices, the macro-team contributes to the company's ability to remain competitive and efficient in a rapidly changing business environment.

Conclusion

Empowering processes through the legal-administrative macro-team is a winning strategy for any organization seeking to excel in credit management. This integrated approach enhances internal capabilities and aligns operations with industry standards, ensuring sustainable growth and compliance.

2025 Strategies: THE IMPORT-EXPORTECH PROJECT FOR GLOBAL EXPANSION

The term “**debt collection**” often oversimplifies credit management’s critical and complex nature, a process essential for businesses’ survival and growth. This becomes even more sensitive and strategic when companies operate globally, extending beyond their home country.

Building on 30 years of international credit management experience, **Invenium Legaltech** launched an ambitious project in 2023 within the **MIND Federated Innovation Hub**, established at the site of the Expo 2015 in Milan. This event of global significance attracted over 22 million visitors.

A New Vision for Credit Management Innovation

Leveraging the **European Central Bank (ECB) Guidelines** for managing Non-Performing Loans (NPLs) in the banking sector, Invenium developed strategies combining traditional credit management expertise with a strong focus on **innovation**. The project engages various participants, from major global players (such as a marketplace valued at \$350 billion) to cutting-edge startups in **artificial intelligence (AI)**.

The IMPORT-EXPORTECH Vertical

Invenium has created an innovation “vertical” called Import-Exportech, bringing together organizations involved in credit risk management, currency risk, and payment default risk. This initiative integrates human expertise with new digital tools, providing a forward-thinking approach to credit management in international trade.

Non-Performing Export (NPE): A New Perspective

In addition to the concept of Import-Exportech, Invenium has introduced the term “Non-Performing Export” (NPE), reinterpreting the banking acronym traditionally associated with aging non-performing loans (NPLs). This new concept addresses export-related credit risks in differentiated aging periods.

Leading Innovation in a Global Market

In an increasingly competitive global market, countries once considered “third-world” are now experiencing rapid and transformative growth. Companies cannot afford to passively wait for industry regulations or obligations to dictate their strategies. Instead, they must actively lead innovation, combining traditional “Made in Italy” strengths—quality, creativity, style, and adaptability—with modern, well-structured, and effective growth strategies.

Conclusion

The Import-Exportech project exemplifies a creative and forward-thinking approach to navigating global trade challenges. By blending innovation with strategic credit management and the hallmark qualities of Italian excellence, businesses can drive sustainable growth and maintain a competitive edge in the evolving global marketplace.

This is the mission of Invenium Legaltech, which, alongside major corporations and exporting SMEs, develops new strategies with the same level of dedication to safeguarding the credit—and, consequently, the labor force, financial and operational stability—of the entrepreneurial landscape and the Made in Italy brand.



For additional information



+39 335 270387



+39 02 7600 4029



info@invenium-legaltech.it



+39 02 9132 4348



www.invenium-legaltech.com